

City of Norman, OK

Municipal Building Council Chambers 201 West Gray Norman, OK 73069

Master

		File Numb	oer: O-1314-45			
File ID:	O-1314-45	Туре:	Zoning Ordinance	Status:	Non-Cons	ent Items
Version:	1	Reference:	Item No. 34	In Control:	City Coun	cil
Department:	Planning and Community Development Department	Cost:		File Created:	03/19/201	4
File Name:	Orange Development R	ezoning 735 Asp	Avenue	Final Action:		
Title:	READING: AN CONTROL OF NORMAN SOUNIVERSITY ADDITIONS THE C-2, GENERAL INTENSIVE COMME	RDINANCE OF NG SECTION 4 AS TO REMO' ON, TO NOR COMMERCIAL RCIAL DISTRIC	THE COUNCII 160 OF CHAPTER VE LOTS 63, 6 MAN, CLEVELAN DISTRICT, AND T, OF SAID C	L OF THE CITY R 22 OF THE CODE 64 AND 65, BLOCK ND COUNTY, OKLA PLACE THE SAME	OF NOR OF THE < 2, LAF .HOMA, F : IN THE	RMAN, CITY RSH'S FROM C-3,
Notes:	ACTION TAKEN: ACTION NEEDED: a whole.	Motion to adopt	or reject Ordinan	-		
				Agenda Date:	05/13/201	4
				-		
Attachments: O-1314-45 - CVS, Location Map, Staff Reports Flan, 4-10-14 PC Minutes - Orange Development Project Manager: Janay Greenlee, Planner			eport, Map,	Agenda Number.	0 7	
Entered by:	rone.tromble@normand	k.gov		Effective Date:		
ory of Legis	lative File					
Acting Body:	Date:	Action:	Sent To:	Due Date:	Return Date:	Result:
	Version: Department: File Name: Title: Notes: ect Manager: Entered by: ory of Legis	Development Department File Name: Orange Development R Title: CONSIDERATION O READING: AN O OKLAHOMA, AMENDI OF NORMAN SO UNIVERSITY ADDITI THE C-2, GENERAL INTENSIVE COMMEI SEVERABILITY THERE Notes: ACTION NEEDED: section by section. ACTION TAKEN: ACTION NEEDED: If a whole. ACTION TAKEN: ACTION TAKEN: CONSIDERATION OF THE PROPERTY AND COMMENTED OF THE PRO	File ID: O-1314-45 Type: Version: 1 Reference: Department: Planning and Cost: Community Development Department File Name: Orange Development Rezoning 735 Asp Title: CONSIDERATION OF ORDINANCE OF OKLAHOMA, AMENDING SECTION 4 OF NORMAN SO AS TO REMOUNIVERSITY ADDITION, TO NOR THE C-2, GENERAL COMMERCIAL INTENSIVE COMMERCIAL DISTRIC SEVERABILITY THEREOF. (735 ASP AMENDING SECTION ACTION NEEDED: Motion to adopt section by section. ACTION NEEDED: Motion to adopt a whole. ACTION TAKEN: ACTION TAKEN: ACTION TAKEN: ACTION TAKEN: Entered by: rone.tromble@normanok.gov	Version: 1 Reference: Item No. 34 Department: Planning and Cost: Community Development Department File Name: Orange Development Rezoning 735 Asp Avenue Title: CONSIDERATION OF ORDINANCE NO. O-1314-4 READING: AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OKLAHOMA, AMENDING SECTION 460 OF CHAPTER OF NORMAN SO AS TO REMOVE LOTS 63, UNIVERSITY ADDITION, TO NORMAN, CLEVELAN THE C-2, GENERAL COMMERCIAL DISTRICT, AND INTENSIVE COMMERCIAL DISTRICT, OF SAID C SEVERABILITY THEREOF. (735 ASP AVENUE) Notes: ACTION NEEDED: Motion to adopt or reject Ordinar section by section. ACTION TAKEN: ACTION NEEDED: Motion to adopt or reject Ordinan a whole. ACTION TAKEN: ACTION TAKEN: ACTION TAKEN: The Council of the property of the proper	File ID: O-1314-45 Type: Zoning Ordinance Status: Version: 1 Reference: Item No. 34 In Control: Department: Planning and Cost: File Created: Community Development Department File Name: Orange Development Rezoning 735 Asp Avenue Final Action: Title: CONSIDERATION OF ORDINANCE NO. O-1314-45 UPON SECOND READING: AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OKLAHOMA, AMENDING SECTION 460 OF CHAPTER 22 OF THE CODE OF NORMAN SO AS TO REMOVE LOTS 63, 64 AND 65, BLOCI UNIVERSITY ADDITION, TO NORMAN, CLEVELAND COUNTY, OKLATHE C-2, GENERAL COMMERCIAL DISTRICT, AND PLACE THE SAME INTENSIVE COMMERCIAL DISTRICT, OF SAID CITY; AND PROVIDII SEVERABILITY THEREOF. (735 ASP AVENUE) Notes: ACTION NEEDED: Motion to adopt or reject Ordinance No. O-1314-45 up a whole. ACTION TAKEN: AGENDA Date: Agenda Number: AGENDA Date: A	File ID: O-1314-45 Type: Zoning Ordinance Status: Non-Cons Version: 1 Reference: Item No. 34 In Control: City Coun Department: Planning and Community Development Department Planning and Community Development Department Prile Name: Orange Development Rezoning 735 Asp Avenue Final Action: File Name: Orange Development Rezoning 735 Asp Avenue Final Action: Title: CONSIDERATION OF ORDINANCE NO. O-1314-45 UPON SECOND AND READING: AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NOR OKLAHOMA, AMENDING SECTION 460 OF CHAPTER 22 OF THE CODE OF THE OF NORMAN SO AS TO REMOVE LOTS 63, 64 AND 65, BLOCK 2, LAF UNIVERSITY ADDITION, TO NORMAN, CLEVELAND COUNTY, OKLAHOMA, IT THE C-2, GENERAL COMMERCIAL DISTRICT, OF SAID CITY; AND PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY THEREOF. (735 ASP AVENUE) Notes: ACTION NEEDED: Motion to adopt or reject Ordinance No. O-1314-45 upon Secon section by section. ACTION TAKEN: ACTION NEEDED: Motion to adopt or reject Ordinance No. O-1314-45 upon Final flawhole. ACTION TAKEN: ACTION TAKEN: ACTION TAKEN: ACTION TAKEN: ACTION TAKEN: BAGINA 4-10-14 PC Minutes - Orange Development County of Coun

1 Planning Commission 04/10/2014 Recommended for City Council 04/22/2014 Pass

Adoption at a subsequent City Council Meeting

Action Text: A motion was made by Lewis, seconded by Bahan, that this Zoning Ordinance be Recommended for

Adoption at a subsequent City Council Meeting to the City Council, due back on 4/22/2014. The

motion carried by the following vote:

1 City Council 04/22/2014 Introduced and Pass

adopted on First Reading by title only

Action Text: That this Zoning Ordinance be Introduced and adopted on First Reading by title only. by consent roll

call

Text of Legislative File O-1314-45

Body

SYNOPSIS: The applicant, Orange Development, Inc., doing business as CVS Pharmacy, is proposing to locate a new store at 735 Asp Avenue. This rezoning application is only for 735 Asp Avenue. The business will occupy 735-745 Asp Avenue, however, 745 is already zoned C-3, Intensive Commercial District.

ANALYSIS: This subject tract is located in the Campus Corner area, just southeast of the Asp Avenue and White Street intersection. The centrally located commercial areas of Norman have been zoned, for the most part, C-3, Intensive Commercial District for many years.

The current zoning for 735, the northern piece of the building, is C-2, General Commercial District and has been zoned as such since Ordinance No. 1938, November 1966. This zoning was changed from R-3, Multi-Family Dwelling District. At the time of that rezoning request there was C-3 zoning to the south of this proposal already in place. The Planning Commission and City Council, at that time, believed the C-2 request was appropriate and created a buffer between the commercial area to the south and the residential area to the north.

The zoning for 745 Asp Avenue, the southern piece of this building, is C-3, Intensive Commercial District and has been zoned as such since Ordinance No. 884, the original zoning for the City of Norman, adopted July 13, 1954. In fact, the current zoning along the east side of Asp Avenue from 745 Asp Avenue all the way down to the corner of Boyd Street and Asp Avenue is C-3, adopted by Ordinance No. 884.

Across the street, along the west side of Asp Avenue, the zoning is C-3 and was also included in Ordinance No. 884.

The existing zoning to the north of this rezoning proposal is mixed. Directly adjacent to this property, on the north side at 731 through 733 Asp Avenue, the zoning is C-1, Local Commercial District, zoned under Ordinance No. 1720, December 1964. Continuing north the zoning is C-3, at 729 Asp Avenue, adopted with Ordinance No. O-8485-58, January 1985, allowing for the use of a parking lot. This parking lot is for the businesses located at 731 through 733 Asp Avenue. The design of the parking lot was consolidated with the existing parking lot behind the adjacent commercial building. The zoning continuing north at 723 Asp Avenue is C-2, approved by Ordinance No. O-0405-35, February 2005. This zoning was approved with specific requirements for lighting and site design and to provide parking for the business at 747 Asp Avenue.

This rezoning proposal is in line with the existing zoning in the Campus Corner area. Parking is a concern for Campus Corner and staff acknowledges this issue. However, this applicant is taking on a problematic site as the single building carries two different zoning designations. As outlined above, the northern portion of this building carries the C-2 zoning and the southern portion carries the C-3 zoning, this has been the case for this area since 1966. Under the C-3 zoning there are no parking requirements an applicant must meet. The C-3 zoning district was implemented primarily for Downtown Norman and Campus Corner. These areas are very walkable areas and have more pedestrian traffic than other areas of Norman. However, under the C-2 zoning designation there are parking requirements an applicant must meet. The parking existing for this building does not meet the current C-2 requirements. The total number of parking spaces needed for the C-2 portion of the property is twenty-nine. There are seventeen parking spaces existing directly behind this building, on the same lot. It is evident the applicant would either need to request a variance for the parking count or clear up the

mixed zoning for the site. Staff recommended clearing the zoning issues for any business that may occupy this building.

There are two entrances for this business, one on Asp Avenue and one on the alley side of the building, adjacent to the existing parking lot. There will be foot traffic from both the surrounding neighborhoods as well as from the Campus.

OTHER AGENCY COMMENTS:

PARK BOARD: There are no additional park requirements for this commercial rezoning request.

PUBLIC WORKS: The area is already platted; there are no additional requirements for this rezoning request.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: The zone change to C-3 is compatible with the surrounding zoning and the pedestrian nature of Campus Corner. This zone change does not eliminate any parking that is already provided in the area, but will not impose additional parking requirements on this building. Staff supports the rezoning. Cleaning up the mixed zoning on the building is practical and a necessity for the proposal. Staff recommends approval of Ordinance No. O-1314-45. Planning Commission, at their meeting of April 10, 2014, recommended approval by a vote of 8-0.