

Central Norman ADMINISTRATIVE DELAY APPEAL

Case	No.		
Cube	110.		

City of Norman Planning & Community Development - 201 W. Gray St., Bldg. A - Norman, OK 73069 — (405) 366-5433 Phone - (405) 366-5274 Fax

APPLICANT(S)	ADDRESS OF APPLICANT	
Venture LLC	116 W. Main Street	
	Norman, Oklahoma 73069	
NAME AND PHONE NUMBER OF CONTACT PERSON(S)	EMAIL ADDRESS	
Aaron Stiles	aaron@dlgok.com	
Legal Description of Property: Jones Lot N 75' of Lot 6 B	lock 17 a/k/a 116W. Hughbert.	
Degreets Heaving for		
Requests Hearing for:		
CENTRAL NORMAN ADMINISTRATIVE DELAY		
Detailed Justification for above appeal (refer to attached Resolution and ju	stify request according to requirements therefor):	
See attached letter.	*	
(Attach additional sheets for y	our justification, as needed.)	
SIGNATURE OF PROPERTY OWNER(S):	ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE:	
SIGNATORIE OF TROPERTY OWNER(S).		
Cia de Membro	Address above, 310-3465	
	D. C. being!	
	Date Submitted:	
FILED IN THE OFFICE		
OF THE CITY CLERK	Checked by:	
FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK ON _2/13/17		



February 13, 2017

City of Norman 201 W. Gray Norman, Oklahoma 73069

Re: <u>114/116 W. Hughbert</u>

Dear Manager Lewis,

The property at 114 W. Hughbert has another structure on the same lot (116 W. Hughbert) and this second structure (the "Property") is the focus of this application. The applicant seeks to demolish the Property and surround the lot with a privacy fence. The Property is dilapidated and is becoming an increasingly popular draw for vagrants. How this property ever got built on a lot which already has a single-family residence is unknown. The city has an interest in protecting the public's health, safety and general welfare in allowing the demolition of this house to move forward.

The purpose of the temporary Administrative Delay (the "Delay") was so that the City could establish better ways to address the surge of single family houses being torn down and replaced by multi-family structures that are not in line with the harmony of the neighborhood. In this case, since no structure would be built in its place, the flattening of the Property would not conflict with the purpose of the ordinance. Razing the Property would positively impact the area immediately surrounding because vagrant traffic would decrease and a fresh fence would eventually surround the entire lot. Since the property is unoccupied, the alternative would be boarding up the house, which could not possibly be seen as a positive step forward with the goals of the City. Also, this is would be a needles expense and an unsightly nuisance for the community.

Wherefore, the applicant requests the City approve the demolition of this structure immediately.

Sincerely,

Aaron Stiles