Melissa J. Gill 2209 Bruckner Drive Norman, Oklahoma 73071 October 9, 2017 (415) 613-2617 (cell)

## **Hand-delivered**

The City of Norman Planning and Community Development 201 W. Gray, Bldg. A Norman, Oklahoma 73069

RE: Application for Reapproval of Preliminary Plat Hallbrooke Addition

Planning Department,

I recently received Notice of the above matter due to the fact that my house, on the east side of Bruckner Drive, is adjacent to the land the applicant is seeking for plat reapproval. I have some general concerns, many of which are shared by the neighbors who attended the recent Informational Meeting, as well as by other neighbors I have talked to:

- Since the preliminary plat (which was approved over 10 years ago, in 2004) has lapsed, it seems to me that the applicant should not be subject to the same process previously used when there were no houses in the northeastern part of Park Place (which includes my house as well as many others).
- These new Park Place houses were hypothetical when the Hallbrooke Plat was
  previously approved, but they are now real, and the impacts that the Hallbrooke
  development will have on them can and should be more fully considered. These
  impacts include negative impacts on drainage and traffic, and loss of trees.
- Traffic the intersection of Bruckner/Queenston and Rock Creek is a disaster. Bruckner
  and Queenston don't align, the intersection of Bruckner and Rock Creek is not striped or
  marked in any way. Traffic coming from the east on Rock Creek is going too fast and
  coming up a hill where they can't even see the dangerous intersection. The
  development of the 83 houses planned in this Hallbrooke Addition will add traffic to
  Rock Creek, increasing the danger in this area.
- Drainage there is a pond behind my house on Bruckner. I would like to know whether this pond will be preserved, and, if not, where the drainage channel that runs into it alongside the south side of my house will drain.
- Trees the trees that surround the pond behind my house are much larger now than
  when this preliminary plat was approved. I would like the developer to be required to
  preserve these trees as much as possible, both to control drainage and just because
  they are now beautiful mature trees.

I was surprised recently when I had a short discussion on this matter with Planning staff and was told, "This is just reapproval of something that was previously approved." I don't like the word "just,"

The City of Norman
Planning and Community Development
October 9, 2017
Page 2

because it implies that there should be no consideration of the matter and that reapproval should be automatic. If that is the case, then why should any developer not let his plat lapse, knowing that he or she can come in later and "just" get it reapproved? Should there be consequences to having let it lapse? It seems to me that the process for reapproval should be the same as if the plat had never been approved in the first place, and that the issues I raised above should be thoroughly reviewed based on the actual circumstances in the area now.

The Notice I received in September says, "The applicant has filed a concurrent application for Planning Commission consideration of this project at their October 12, 2017 meeting. You will also be receiving notice of that meeting in the near future." I just checked the Planning Commission agenda for October 12<sup>th</sup>, and this matter is on that agenda, although I have not yet received notice of this.

Thank you for consideration of this letter. Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Melissa J. Gill

cc: Diana Bell, President Park Place Homeowners Assn. Breea Clark Planning Commission