

## **City of Norman, OK**

Municipal Building Council Chambers 201 West Gray Norman, OK 73069

## Master

		File Numb	er: O-1314-39			
File ID:	O-1314-39	Туре:	Zoning Ordinance	Status:	Non-Conse	nt Items
Version:	1	Reference:	Item No. 34	In Control:	City Counc	il
Department:	Planning and Community Development Department	Cost:		File Created:	02/11/2014	
File Name:	Madole Rezoning			Final Action:		
Title:	READING: AN COKLAHOMA, AMENDIO OF NORMAN SO SECTION NINE (9), INDIAN MERIDIAN, RURAL AGRICULTURAL DIST	ORDINANCE OF ING SECTION 4 AS TO REMO TOWNSHIP EIG NORMAN, CLE RAL DISTRICT FRICT, OF SAID	NO. O-1314-39 U THE COUNCIL OF 60 OF CHAPTER 22 VE PART OF THE 6HT (8) NORTH, RAN VELAND COUNTY, C AND PLACE THE SA O CITY; AND PROVID AR LANE BETWEEN	THE CITY OF THE CODE SOUTHWEST GE TWO (2) V KLAHOMA, FROME IN THE A	OF NOR! OF THE QUARTER VEST OF OM THE A-1, GENE SEVERAB	MAN, CITY OF THE A-2, ERAL ILITY
Notes:	section by section.  ACTION TAKEN:	Motion to adopt	or reject Ordinance No	-		
				Agenda Date:	04/22/2014	
			_	J		
	Text File O-1314-39.pdf Staff Report, Written De Pre-Development Sumr Madole Jane Hudson, Principal	escription, Plat, mary, 3-13-14 PC	ition Map,	Agenda Number:	34	
Entered by:	rone.tromble@normand	ok.gov		Effective Date:		
History of Legis	lative File					
Ver- Acting Body: sion:	Date:	Action:	Sent To:	Due Date:	Return Date:	Result:

1 Planning Commission 03/13/2014 Recommended for City Council 04/08/2014 Pass

Adoption at a subsequent City Council Meeting

Action Text: A motion was made by Gasaway, seconded by Knotts, that this Zoning Ordinance be Recommended

for Adoption at a subsequent City Council Meeting to the City Council, due back on 4/8/2014. The

motion carried by the following vote:

1 City Council 04/08/2014 Introduced and Pass

adopted on First Reading by title only

Action Text: That this Zoning Ordinance be Introduced and adopted on First Reading by title only. by consent roll

call

## Text of Legislative File O-1314-39

Body

**SYNOPSIS**: The applicants, Madole Family Revocable Trust, have come together to request their properties, shown on the attached site plan, be rezoned from A-2, Rural Agricultural District to A-1, General Agricultural District.

ANALYSIS: The Madole Family Trust recently sold one parcel of land just north of this rezoning proposal. That parcel was platted and rezoned to Planned Unit Development to allow for the development of a student based housing project. During the platting and rezoning of that property the tracts included in this rezoning request were reconfigured creating some issues with tract size under the existing zoning. With the current zoning of A-2, the tracts are required to be a minimum of ten acres. With the new division of these properties the tracts are approximately five, four, four and thirteen acres. The thirteen acre tract is compliant with A-2 requirements but at the preliminary plat stage for the development to the north of these tracts, staff suggested they include all the tracts in the rezoning request to have a clear designation of the tracts, for any future permit requests. There is a single tract to the west of this rezoning proposal; it is not included as part of this application.

<u>USE</u>: This rezoning proposal will not change the current single-family use on these tracts.

SITE PLAN: The site plan shows the existing homes on-site. No changes have been proposed.

## **OTHER AGENCY COMMENTS:**

PARK LAND: There are no parkland requirements with this rezoning request.

**PUBLIC WORKS/ENGINEERING**: The tracts have existing access to Cedar Lane. There are no other requirements for Public Works/Engineering.

**RECOMMENDATION**: As the applicant's representative stated in the application packet, this rezoning is more of a "housekeeping" application, recommended by City Legal staff, that will keep the properties under the proper zoning district since the acreage has changed. This housekeeping matter was discussed when the preliminary plat for the northern piece went to City Council in late 2013.

Staff recommends approval of Ordinance No. O-1314-39. Planning Commission, at their meeting of March 13, 2014, recommended adoption of Ordinance No. O-1314-39 on a vote of 8-0.