

## CITY COUNCIL CONFERENCE MINUTES

April 2, 2015

The City Council of the City of Norman, Cleveland County, State of Oklahoma, met in a conference at 5:30 p.m. in the Municipal Building Conference Room on the 2nd day of April, 2015, and notice and agenda of the meeting were posted at the Municipal Building at 201 West Gray, and the Norman Public Library at 225 North Webster 48 hours prior to the beginning of the meeting.

PRESENT:

Councilmembers Allison, Castleberry, Heiple, Holman, Jungman, Lang, Miller, and Mayor Rosenthal

ABSENT:

Councilmember Williams

Item 1, being:

DISCUSSION REGARDING THE FOLLOWING COMPONENTS OF A CITIZENS' QUALITY OF LIFE INITIATIVE ENTITLED, "NORMAN FORWARD":

- THE EXTENSION OF JAMES GARNER AVENUE FROM ACRES STREET TO NORTH FLOOD AVENUE
- CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW CENTRAL LIBRARY AND AN EAST SIDE BRANCH LIBRARY
- CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW SENIOR CITIZENS CENTER

### **James Garner Avenue**

Mr. Shawn O'Leary, Director of Public Works, said in response to a request from a citizen group, Council renamed Front Street James Garner Avenue in honor and recognition of actor James Garner who was born and raised in Norman. He said on September 14, 1999, a six proposition special election was held that included a two-lane James Garner Avenue (Front Street) between Robinson Street and Eufaula Street in the amount of \$7,108,500. The other five propositions included park improvements, library upgrades, emergency communication system, cultural facilities, and public parking lots for a total improvements package of \$30,120,000. Norman voters approved the library upgrades in the amount of \$290,000; however, they rejected the remaining five propositions.

Mr. O'Leary said the James Garner Avenue Project, referred to as the "Front Street Project" in the City's Comprehensive Transportation Program (CTP), has been discussed for many years with the purpose of creating a more direct access route between Downtown Norman and I-35/Highway 77/Flood Avenue to the north. In 2014, the cost estimate for this project was \$6 million. He said the CTP includes categories for medium and long range projects and in the medium range projects, James Garner Avenue is extended from Acres Street to Boyd Street for access to the University of Oklahoma (OU) campus.

The Norman Forward Program proposes to extend the existing James Garner Avenue as a two-lane roadway from Acres Street northward to a crossing over the Robinson Street underpass bridge. The project will create a connection to Flood Avenue north of Robinson Street and proposes to truncate the local streets north of Acres Street to eliminate their connection to the James Garner Avenue extension. The project will also realign the existing Legacy Trail north of Acres Street. Staff recommends that cul-de-sacs be constructed at the east end of the side streets so they cannot connect to the James Garner Avenue to better enhance the safety of Legacy Trail and improve safety and flow of traffic on James Garner Avenue. Legacy Trail will be extended over the grade separated Robinson Street to eliminate potential safety hazards of the existing at-grade trail crossing of Robinson Street and Flood Avenue. The project should be designed to include the trail in conjunction with a potential bridge over Robinson Street for the James Garner Avenue extension.

**James Garner Avenue, continued**

Councilmember Castleberry asked if a bridge were constructed over Robinson Street for the James Garner Avenue Project would there be enough room for a parallel railroad track for light rail service and Mr. O'Leary yes, but that definitely should be reviewed as the project moves forward. Mr. O'Leary said the City accommodated another track in the Robinson Street Underpass Project due to the City's extensive discussions over the years regarding light rail service.

Mr. O'Leary said one challenge with the project is the proximity of James Garner Avenue to the railroad crossings since you never want to construct roadways or intersections close to a railroad crossing. He said one of the design considerations will be how to design a new intersection(s) and still remain safe with the railroad crossing. He said this will become even more important this year as the City moves into discussions regarding railroad quiet zones. He said one example of safety is the crossing at Acres Street which has an expensive quad gate because that is the only type of device that prevents cars from driving around railroad crossing arms.

Mr. O'Leary said the proposed extension of James Garner Avenue northward to tie directly to Flood Avenue will bring a component of through traffic to the segment of James Garner Avenue south of Acres Street. This section of James Garner Avenue is currently a meandering two-lane roadway with on-street parking to Eufaula Street. He highlighted proposed concepts for modifications to the roadway as follows:

- Adding pockets of left turn lanes to facilitate traffic movement while retaining essentially a two-lane roadway through downtown
- Removing various areas of curbside parking and creating pockets of off-street parking in the public right-of-way
- Enhancing the intersections of James Garner Avenue at Acres Street, Gray Street, and Main Street to facilitate north-south movement along James Garner Avenue while blending its movements into the fabric of Downtown Norman

Mr. O'Leary said this project would be eligible for 80%/20% federal funding; however, the City would not be able to aggregate enough money for this project until the year 2020.

Mr. O'Leary said there have been questions about whether or not traffic from Robinson Street could use James Garner Avenue to avoid Flood Street. He said Staff discussed creating a slip lane for eastbound traffic off of Robinson Street to tie into James Garner Avenue, but it would be a challenge to add another "leg" to one of the busiest intersections in Norman and it was estimated the slip lane would cost \$2.5 million at the time the Robinson Street Underpass Project was being designed in 2009. He said the impact of traffic on a slip lane was deemed to be very negative because there was so little space between Flood Street and the proposed James Garner Avenue.

Councilmember Lang asked the difference between a boulevard and an arterial street and Mr. O'Leary said the proposed boulevard concept design was more about aesthetics than road design and Council will need to decide what they want for James Garner Avenue. Mayor Rosenthal said when the City was discussing the Porter Avenue corridor and the difficulties with capacity and volume of traffic on Porter Avenue it became clear the City needed other north/south alternatives to carry traffic into the downtown area. She said the James Garner Avenue design would be about capacity as well as aesthetics going north and south. Councilmember Holman was concerned about having a long boulevard with no curb cuts, which could make the roadway more of a speedway. Mr. O'Leary said many people believe a boulevard design has a calming element because of the median and landscape, but there are concerns about traffic safety.

**James Garner Avenue, continued**

Mayor Rosenthal said projects of this type are typically paid for through General Obligation (G.O.) Bonds not sales tax and that is something she would like to have public input on. She asked if there is any economy in combining “Front Street” with improvements in and around the concept for a new library and Mr. O’Leary said the library concept was very mindful of the James Garner Avenue Project and incorporated the footprint of that area as part of the entrance to the new library so there would be some economy and he could get that cost savings for Council. He said from a design standpoint, Staff would love to design the James Garner Avenue Project simultaneously with the library project. Mayor Rosenthal said there is a big difference between paying for a project in seven years with sales tax versus thirty years with G.O. Bonds. She said that is an important distinction when discussing roads that future generations will use and the argument would be that those future users should also help pay for the roads so that is where the G.O. Bond concept comes into play.

Councilmember Holman felt Council should focus on the missing segment James Garner Avenue between Acres Street and Robinson Street while getting information on extending James Garner Avenue to Eufaula Avenue.

Mr. O’Leary said, in the future, potential growth of dense development along James Garner Avenue/Jenkins Avenue between Main Street and Boyd Street will increase the significance of the need for good traffic access and circulation, off-street parking, and increased accommodations for bicycle and pedestrian mobility. Design of travel lanes, bike lanes, bus accommodations, sidewalks, and corridor parking provisions will need to support higher density and transit oriented development.

**Central Library and East Side Library**

Mr. Steve Lewis, City Manager, said in May 2008, a proposal was presented to voters which failed by 280 votes. The proposal included site acquisition, design, and construction of a 118,000 square foot central library for a cost of \$49.5 million. The central library proposal also included a multi-level parking garage consisting of 360 spaces; construction within the downtown vicinity; staffing to be provided by the Pioneer Library System (PLS); and host PLS administrative headquarters. The building would be owned by the City of Norman and financed by G.O. Bonds.

Mr. Lewis said due to changes since 2008, Meyer, Scherer, and Rockcastle (MS&R) updated the Library Master Plan in January 2014, and presented their report to Council on November 4, 2014. He said several public meetings were held as well to gather community input for the report. The updated study analyzed circulation and usage levels; looked at benchmark peer libraries in the region; projected collection size based on print and election formats; reviewed demand for spaces (work, study, and group meetings); considered the effect of a new west side branch (the former Borders building on Ed Noble Parkway acquired by PLS); prepared a recommended program for central and east branches; and prepared statements of cost.

Ms. Anne Masters, PLS Director, said there are almost 90,000 library cardholders and the door count for 2013-2014 was 447,064 averaging 40,000 people per month that visit the library. She highlighted services of the library as follows:

- Young children, parents, and caregivers – the PLS Growing Like a Weed Literacy Program blends traditional story times with research based parent information to ensure success in school and life;
- Students (of all ages) – PLS offers online tutoring, homework help, and computer resources including the very popular online test practice for most standardized school or career tests;

**Central Library and East Side Library, continued**

- Adults needing to learn to read or learn the English language – PLS operates a basic adult literacy program. Staff members train, assist student reading levels and provide learning resources to help adult students with one-on-one instruction. Tutors also offer English language learning and PLS will be starting a Citizenship Education Program after the first of the year;
- Adults needing technology help – PLS offers help in using technology devices, help in accessing services the government provides, help in accessing financial literacy information, and help in accessing health information;
- Adults seeking employment – PLS offers help on resume writing, job application assistance, job skills, as well as helping businesses and entrepreneurs wanting to train their employees or to grow and start businesses; and
- People of all ages who find enjoyment and entertainment in the many opportunities the library offers through library programs, books, online resources, downloadable information and forms, and genealogy resources.

Ms. Masters said in 2013/2014 there were 1,003 library programs with 38,283 people participating. She said the library has four meeting rooms that are constantly reserved for library programs or outside business use and many times groups are turned away because the rooms are reserved. She said the library's meeting room policy no longer allows parties, such as wedding showers, baby showers, etc., or commercial use of the meeting rooms. She said many diverse groups use the library meeting rooms.

Councilmember Miller felt that high usage was the most important reason for an expansion of the library. She asked if the westside library branch has lowered the number of people using the downtown library and Ms. Masters said no, PLS is seeing a new audience of citizens at the westside branch. She said there are more than 1,500 new library cardholders that name the westside library as their library and anytime library use becomes more convenient for people, it attracts new customers and serves the community better.

Councilmember Holman said citizens have asked him many times why the City wants to build a central library so close to the railroad tracks and wondered if soundproofing is being considered. He also asked if the Acres Street side of the building will be a slow zone in order to make the area more pedestrian friendly. Mayor Rosenthal said these questions were brought up during the public meeting process and cost estimates have taken those features into account.

Councilmember Heiple said it is not just a library and the argument of spending millions of dollars on four walls and a bunch of books is simply people not understanding that a library done properly is a way of investing in the community. In the final analysis there is nothing more important the City can do than to invest in our children's future in a place people may not even imagine calling a library. He felt that if Council does not move forward with this project they are not doing their job and asked Council to please consider the importance of libraries to a community.

Mr. Lewis said the Master Plan is taking into consideration the rising population of Norman through 2030. He said the Central Library is proposed to be 82,000 square feet, which is considerably less than the original 118,000 square feet proposed in 2008. The proposed building would be three stories and the current cost estimate is predicated on surface parking. During the public meetings there was quite a bit of discussion about the option of structure parking as well as the pros and cons of that. He said the largest disadvantage is ongoing maintenance of a garage structure while a positive was to be able to get people closer to the building with less exposure to outdoor elements. He said, in the end, the recommendation from MS&R was to provide surface parking at this time. Councilmember Miller said she attended many of those meetings and citizens with small children and older citizens found the parking garage option more attractive, but one of the things suggested by MS&R in lieu of a garage structure was a covered drive-thru drop-off zone and additional handicap parking.

**Central Library and East Side Library, continued**

Councilmember Allison asked how much land the City owned and what was needed for the library and Mr. Lewis said the City owns 1.7 acres and the total need is 7.25. Mayor Rosenthal said that need will be more or less depending on whether a parking structure is built versus surface parking.

Mr. Lewis said an east library branch is proposed to be 12,500 square feet located adjacent to Fire Station No. 9 at an estimated cost of \$5 million and there is proposed to be 63 parking spaces for the facility. He said the cost estimate from MS&R was \$39 million for the central branch and \$5 million for the east branch, which was based on 2014 numbers and those numbers can increase depending on the timing of the project. He said MS&R does anticipate some construction inflation in their costs, but costs can build up quickly. Mayor Rosenthal felt the estimated costs are very conservative.

Councilmember Lang asked the cost per square foot of the east branch versus the central branch and Mr. Lewis said he did not have that information at hand, but could get that cost for Council. Councilmember Lang wondered if it would be more beneficial to spend the \$5 million proposed for an east library branch on a central library instead or even saving that money for some other purpose because if the City is going to make a central library “showplace” it would be better not to scrimp on those costs. He said there would be no walkability to an eastside branch and while he is an east side resident, he believes a central library would be the better use of the funds. Mayor Rosenthal said the central library is not intended to be a showplace or palace, it is intended to be a great library for future generations and what happens in a library is more important than whether or not the library has marble countertops.

Mr. Lewis said peer cities think about libraries as a system and that systems accessibility for citizens. He asked Council to think about how accessible they want Norman libraries to be to patrons. Councilmember Lang said peer cities of similar size to Norman generally have one library and Norman is talking about three libraries and while he understands accessibility he wants to make sure the City is not spending more than it needs to and still have a very great library to be proud of. Councilmember Miller said during the promotion of the bond issue in 2008, people were asking about library branches and the west side branch has proved why that is a good idea. She said there is always that perception on the east side that the west side gets its all and the east side is left out. She said the east side of Norman extends to 180th and an eastside library would pull in a lot of people whether they are in walking distance or not. She asked Ms. Masters to say something about the national trend of library branches and Ms. Masters said she did not have statistics on hand, but felt a library for east Norman is important and would gain many new customers.

Councilmember Holman felt an east side branch built on property the City already owned was very prudent and, ideally, he would see the branch library being a mile or two further east to reach more eastside residents. He said the westside library and the proposed eastside branch would be the same distance from the proposed central library, which makes sense. He felt there was a lot of merit in Councilmembers Lang’s comments about the downtown library being the one visitors would most likely seek. He said what the library looks like on the outside is important to him, but he does not want to break the bank just to build something that looks nice.

Councilmember Castleberry said he is in favor of an eastside branch; however, \$6 million is needed to extend James Garner Avenue so maybe the City should not build an eastside branch when they could finish a project that would help with traffic congestion. He said that money could go towards other things more important than an eastside library. He said the City is putting together a package for a vote of the people and he feels more people will want improved roadways and traffic movement than a library branch.

### **Central Library and East Side Library, continued**

Councilmember Holman asked Mr. O'Leary about the projection of placing a traffic signal at the intersection of Summit Lakes and Fire Station No. 9 and Mr. O'Leary said that project is in the current 2012 Bond Project for Alameda Avenue to 48th Avenue East and is expected to be in place by 2016.

Mayor Rosenthal said important questions and values like equity should not be put to a popularity vote. She said with the investment the City has made on the westside there are pretty important equity issues as to why the City would want an eastside branch. She said the City wants public support, but it is also important to be advocates for the underserved and the eastside is underserved.

Mayor Rosenthal highlighted some questions for public input as follows:

- Does the City need an east side branch library or a bigger central library?
- Do citizens want an east side branch library or would they rather spend the money on something else in Norman?
- What are the pros and cons on a parking garage versus surface parking?

### **Senior Citizen Center**

Mr. Jud Foster, Director of Parks and Recreation, said if a new central library is constructed, the old library will be vacated allowing the City to consider renovations that will include space for a Senior Citizen Center. He said the current Senior Citizen Center averages 100 participants per day for an average of 26,000 per year. Current activities include ceramics, exercise and dance classes, yoga, creative writing, bridge and Pilates classes, dominos, bridge, canasta, and special events.

Mr. Foster said a meal site is attached to the current building that prepares 362 meals per day with 37 meals being served at the meal site. He said 115 meals per day are served to Norman residents that are homebound or at locations such as Rose Rock, Among Friends Activity Center, and Little Axe facilities. He said 68% of the meals prepared are going to residents in Cleveland County that live outside of Norman such as Moore Senior Citizen Center, East Lake Church, and Noble Senior Citizen Center.

Mr. Foster said the current Senior Citizen Center was built in 1929 with additions in 1977 and 1980 and the current library was built in 1966 with an addition in 1986. The Senior Citizen Center is 7,842 square feet and the meal site has 5,108 square feet. The proposed library site to house the Senior Citizen Center will consist of 12,000 square feet. Currently the Senior Citizen Center has 57 parking spaces (34 owned, 23 leased), which is problematic and the proposed site will have 110 parking spaces.

The existing Senior Citizen Center is a three story building that has been an issue with wheelchair bound or handicapped citizens. The building consists of Senior Citizen Center rooms, office space, multipurpose room, ceramics, kiln, two classrooms, small kitchen, dining room, and commercial kitchen. He said the new site could accommodate offices, handicap restrooms, larger multipurpose room, game room, fitness room, computer lab/classroom, ceramics, reading and television area, arts and crafts, and a small kitchen.

Councilmember Miller asked if the commercial kitchen would stay at the old site and Mr. Foster said that is something that will need to be determined as the space study does not have it moving over to the proposed site.

**Senior Citizen Center, continued**

Mr. Foster said the current library has had \$2.8 million in improvements over the last few years. In 2009 a new roof was installed at a cost of \$745,000; a security system was added in 1009 at a cost of \$30,000; a new heat and air system was installed in 2011 at a cost of \$1.25 million; interior lighting was upgraded in 2012 at a cost of \$485,000; and new flooring was installed in 2013 at a cost of \$290,000. He said duct work still needs to be upgraded at a cost of \$1 million.

Mr. Foster said Staff reached out to Cleveland Area Rapid Transit (CART) regarding their thoughts on continuing bus service to the current facility as well as the proposed facility. CART said they will probably keep the existing services and add an additional stop when a new library is completed. CART has indicated they would like to be involved in the final planning details of both facilities.

Mr. Foster said in September 2014, the City held a public meeting at the Senior Citizen Center regarding the Norman Forward initiative and approximately 50 people attended that meeting. Parks and Recreation Staff and Park Board members were also in attendance. He highlighted the top public comments as follows:

- New facility should be located in central Norman, perhaps adjacent to the new library
- Continue same type of activities currently offered
- New facility should be designed specifically to accommodate senior citizen activities/use
- Add new activities that can be supported by new facility such as exercise room, larger rooms for dances/other larger functions, computer lab, arts and crafts, etc.

Mr. Foster said it is the City's intent to gather more public comments and put together focus groups to continue to gather information as Norman Forward discussion moves forward.

Councilmember Heiple said equal distance parking is very important and senior citizens have said they do not see how the current configuration of the library and parking lot could create equal distant parking. He has spoken to several architects and City Staff who believe it is possible to repurpose the parking lot so equal distant parking could be a reality and covered parking could also be accommodated. He said the proposed building will be one story with no elevators so that will make a huge difference for seniors.

Mr. Foster said Staff spoke with Mr. Jeff Scherer of MS&R about pedestrian circulation through Andrews Park between the proposed new library and old library and asked Mr. Scherer to speak to that. Mr. Scherer said MS&R understands the importance of the relationship between the proposed library, Andrews Park, and the proposed Senior Citizen Center. He said the current library location related to local government, the proposed library, and Andrews Park are ideal. The new library will offer expanded local history and genealogy departments, study rooms, programs, and meeting rooms that seniors will be interested in and would want to utilize. There will be a six to seven minute walk between the Senior Citizen Center and new library and Staff is proposing a route through Andrews Park, which would be widened to eight feet. The crossing at Daws Street would be improved and rest stops with shade could be added as well as enhanced lighting. He said a shuttle between buildings running on regular schedules and on demand for special events is being contemplated.

Mr. Foster highlighted information regarding the space study prepared in 2008 by McKinney Partnership. He said the study considered reworking the City's office spaces that involved Municipal Court and Building A. A portion of the space would be dedicated for senior citizen use and the meeting rooms would be shared between the City, senior citizens, and the community.

**Senior Citizen Center, continued**

Mayor Rosenthal said there has been concern that this facility might simply be another hand me down since the current Senior Citizen Center is located in the City's first library. She said that is not the case as the current library can be successfully repurposed for senior citizen's use. She is concerned about the adequacy of square footage being dedicated to the Senior Citizen Center and the uncertainty of the meal site. She said if the City is going to do this project they need to do it right in terms of making it the perfect size because there are a lot of baby boomers getting ready to retire. Mr. Foster said the current Senior Citizen Center is 7,800 square feet and the proposed Center is 12,000 square feet. He said facilities in surrounding communities are 14,000 square feet to 20,000 square feet or more so that is a consideration. He said there is an option to dedicate more space. Councilmember Allison said bathrooms and meeting space adds additional square footage to the 12,000 square feet.

Councilmember Miller asked what the remainder of the current library building would be used for and Mr. Foster said that space is dedicated to Public Works and Engineering Staff offices. Municipal Court would move into Building A leaving more room in Building B for police staff.

Mayor Rosenthal asked how McKinney Partnership allocated the space for the senior citizens and if it could be expanded. Mr. Rick McKinney, McKinney Partnership, said the proposed 12,000 square feet was an early study of space use, but an in-depth study and analysis would need to be done to determine what is needed. He said moving City offices was one concept of how to utilize the building's space. Councilmember Heiple said since voters approved a new Emergency Communication Center for Police and Fire Departments using Public Safety Sales Tax, there should be no need to move Staff from Building's A and B leaving more space in the current library for a proposed Senior Citizen Center. Mayor Rosenthal said things have changed in terms of available space; however, the situation for Municipal Court has not changed so there is still a need.

Councilmember Castleberry said in 2008, voters approved expenditure of funds for a Senior Citizen Center so the question for the public is, "Where do you want the Senior Citizen Center and is the current library location what the senior citizen's want?" Mayor Rosenthal said she cares more about what senior citizen's want rather than what the entire public wants since senior citizens will be the ones using the facility. She said in public discussions senior citizens have told the City they want a Senior Citizen Center to be centrally located. Councilmember Holman said senior citizens have stated to him that they want the Senior Citizen Center to be close to or next to the proposed central library.

Councilmember Allison asked how the 2008 ballot language was written and Mr. Bryant said the components of the proposition were very specific and if the City is going to use that method of funding it is important that the City accomplish those purposes as outlined in the ballot language, such as moving staff into the current library and accommodating room for a Senior Citizen Center.

Councilmember Miller asked if there was enough land around the proposed library property to add a Senior Citizens Center and Mr. Lewis said that is a hard question to answer because the City does not know what size center might be constructed. He said that is a variable that needs to be considered first. Mayor Rosenthal said the size should be appropriate to the programming desires and needs and her concern is whether or not 12,000 square feet is adequate for those needs.

Councilmember Holman felt converting the current library and shuffling Staff would be the most prudent plan as well as the quickest path to a new Senior Citizens Center. His only concern is the existing parking lot that has a lot of through traffic circling the parking lot as well as access to a new library through Andrews Park. Mayor Rosenthal said she is concerned about access in and around the facility as well. She said Council needs to be asking if there is enough money to do those types of site improvements and if there is not enough money does it need to be in a Norman Forward package.



**Public Comments**

Ms. Betty Maffucci said Senior Citizen Centers should have a meal site and the City is skimping on that. She also does not believe the City can change the parking lot to be more convenient for senior citizens.

Mr. Will Decker said the current Senior Citizen Center participants hate the proposed plan. He said they will never be able to walk a path to a new library through Andrews Park and there are seniors that cannot use the currently library because the hallway is too long to get to the Senior Citizen portion of the building. He invited Council to visit the current Senior Citizens Center to see what the types of seniors they have to deal with.

An unidentified citizen??? suggested putting the Senior Citizen Center next to the proposed new library and remodeling the current library for City administrative offices.

Ms. Ellen Frank said transportation service for seniors is critical because at some point they will not be able to drive. She is all for having some type of shuttle service to travel from the proposed Senior Citizen Center to the proposed new library site. She said the westside library branch has an open area where they play movies while other people are trying to read a book and that is not what she thinks a library is about so she would suggest a new library have an enclosed space for playing movies. She said computers are an integral part of reading and has no problem with computers being in an open space.

An unidentified citizen ??? said she was very much in favor of libraries; however, the proposed Senior Citizen Center is so attached to the proposed library project that that could cloud the issue. The current Senior Citizen Center is so inadequate it is an embarrassment to Norman. She said seniors have no place to hold events and the potential is so great, but the current facility is not a pleasant place.

Mayor Rosenthal said there is not a member on Council that thinks the existing Senior Citizen Center is either adequate or appropriate. She said Councilmember Holman made a good point about the current library as being best choice and quickest way to move forward to providing a modern, adequate, functional, and pleasant facility to serve senior citizens. She said tonight's questions are what Council will weigh as they try to consider the best way forward. She said there is no City owned land available that would accommodate a central library *and* a Senior Citizen Center.

Items submitted for the record

1. PowerPoint presentation entitled, "Norman Forward," Council Study Session, April 2, 2015
2. Memorandum dated March 27, 2015, from Shawn O'Leary, Director of Public Works, to Steve Lewis, City Manager

\* \* \* \* \*

The meeting adjourned at 7:00 p.m.

ATTEST:

---

City Clerk

---

Mayor